arXiv:math/0606731v1 [math.NT] 28 Jun 2006

Mazur's principle for U(2,1) Shimura varieties

David Helm *

February 2, 2008

Mazur's principle gives a criterion under which an irreducible mod l Galois representation arising from a classical modular form of level Np (with p prime to N) also arises from a classical modular form of level N. We consider the analogous question for Galois representations arising from certain unitary Shimura varieties. In particular, we prove an analogue of Mazur's principle for $U(2,1)$ Shimura varieties. We also give a conjectural criterion for a Galois representation arising in the cohomology of a unitary Shimura variety whose level subgroup is parahoric at p to also arise in the cohomology of a Shimura variety with "less level structure at p".
2000 MSC Classification: 11F80, 11F33, 11G18

1 Introduction

A key result in the theory of classical modular forms was the determination of the optimal level from which a given modular mod l representation could arise. A crucial step in this direction was given by Mazur's principle, which states:

Theorem 1.1 (Mazur's Principle ([Ri1], Theorem 6.1)) *Let N be an integer, let p be a prime not dividing N, and let $\overline{\rho} : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_l)$ be a Galois representation arising from a modular form on $\Gamma_0(Np)$. Suppose that:*

- *$p \neq l$,*
- *$\overline{\rho}$ is absolutely irreducible and unramified at p, and*
- *l does not divide $p - 1$.*

Then $\overline{\rho}$ arises from a modular form for $\Gamma_0(N)$.

Mazur's principle was an important ingredient in Ribet's proof of his level-lowering theorem [Ri1]. More recently, Jarvis [Ja] gave a generalization of Mazur's principle to Galois representations attached to Shimura curves over totally real fields; Rajaei [Ra] has made use of this generalization to extend Ribet's level-lowering theorem to this setting as well.

The analogous problem of determining the optimal levels for mod l representations attached to unitary groups remains wide open, and one can ask if there is an analogue to Mazur's principle in this setting. Where Mazur's principle starts with a representation arising from a form with $\Gamma_0(p)$ level structure at p and attempts to "remove p" from this level structure, such an analogue should start with a representation $\overline{\rho}$ arising in the cohomology of a Shimura variety X_U associated to a unitary group G and level subgroup U, with U_p a *parahoric* subgroup of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Given such a $\overline{\rho}$, an analogue of Mazur's principle should give conditions under which $\overline{\rho}$ arises from a Shimura variety with "less level structure at p"; i.e., under which $\overline{\rho}$ arises in the cohomology of some Shimura variety $X_{U'}$, with U' a level subgroup that is equal to U at primes away from p, but such that U'_p properly contains U_p.

---

*Harvard University; dhelm@math.harvard.edu

Let us be more precise. Let G be a unitary group over $\mathbb{Q}$, such that $G(\infty) \cong \mathrm{GU}(1, n-1)$, and let p be a prime such that $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. (We will consider a somewhat more general situation in the body of the paper; see section 2 for the exact hypotheses.) Up to conjugacy, the parahoric subgroups are those subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ consisting of matrices which are "block upper triangular" modulo p. In particular if $i_0, \ldots, i_r$ are positive integers with $i_0 + \cdots + i_r = n$, let $\Gamma_{i_0,\ldots,i_r}$ denote the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ consisting of matrices which have block form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_0 & * & * & \ldots & * \\ 0 & A_1 & * & \ldots & * \\ & & \vdots & & \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & A_r \end{pmatrix}$$

modulo p, where A_j an i_j by i_j matrix. Then the parahoric subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ are those subgroups conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ to some $\Gamma_{i_0,\ldots,i_r}$. The smallest of these is the Iwahori subgroup $\Gamma_{1,\ldots,1}$; the largest is $\Gamma_n = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Note that (even up to conjugacy) the set of parahoric subgroups is only partially ordered by inclusion.

Now, given a mod l representation $\overline{\rho}$ arising from a Shimura variety X_U, coming from a compact open subgroup U of $G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty})$ with U_p conjugate to $\Gamma_{i_0,\ldots,i_r}$, we can ask for both necessary and sufficient conditions under which $\overline{\rho}$ arises from level U', where U' is equal to U away from p but U'_p is a different parahoric subgroup conjugate to some $\Gamma_{i'_0,\ldots,i'_{r'}}$ containing $\Gamma_{i_0,\ldots,i_r}$.

In section 5 we give a necessary criterion. In particular, we show that if $\overline{\rho}$ arises from a level whose p-part is $\Gamma_{i_0,\ldots,i_r}$, then the monodromy operator N on the restriction of $\overline{\rho}$ to a decomposition group at p satisfies $N^{r+1} = 0$. In particular $\overline{\rho}$ cannot arise from $\Gamma_{i'_0,\ldots,i'_{r'}}$ with $r' < r$ unless N^r is also zero. We then conjecture (under suitable hypotheses on $\overline{\rho}$; c.f. Conjecture 5.4) that the converse also holds, i.e. that if $\overline{\rho}$ satisfies certain technical conditions, and $N^{r'} = 0$ on $\overline{\rho}$ for some $r' < r$, then $\overline{\rho}$ arises from a level U' isomorphic to U away from p but conjugate to some $\Gamma_{i'_0,\ldots,i'_{r'}}$ at p.

Our main results (Theorems 5.6 and 5.7) establish many cases of this conjecture for $n = 3$. The techniques used are, in spirit at least, close to those used to establish Mazur's principle. In particular, the two key tools used in the proof of Mazur's principle are the Deligne-Rapoport model for elliptic curves with $\Gamma_0(p)$-level structure and the reduction theory of Jacobians. We study models of the Shimura varieties under consideration in section 3. As Jacobians are unavailable for Shimura varieties of dimension greater than one, we instead use the Rapoport-Zink weight spectral sequence [RZ2] to compare the cohomology of the Shimura varieties under consideration in characteristics 0 and p. This provides a higher-dimensional analogue of the reduction theory of Jacobians of curves.

These results suggest several interesting questions that are beyond the scope of this paper. One can ask, for instance, what happens for $n > 3$. In this case the situation seems much more complicated. In particular, the key point in the argument is to show that the weight spectral sequence degenerates at E_1 when localized at the maximal ideal of the Hecke algebra that corresponds to the Galois representation being considered. To prove this when $n = 3$ we exploit a peculiarity of the geometry in this case. In particular, let U be a subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty})$ that is conjugate to $\Gamma_{1,2}$ or $\Gamma_{1,1,1}$ at p, and let U' be equal to U away from p and maximal compact at p. Then if X_U and $X_{U'}$ are the corresponding Shimura varieties, we show (Propositions 3.3 and 3.5) that the intersection of any two distinct irreducible components of the special fiber at p of X_U is isomorphic to a subvariety of $X_{U'}$. This is crucial in our analysis of the weight spectral sequence attached to X_U. When n is larger than 3, this phenomenon only occurs for a few level structures at p; essentially only those of the form $\Gamma_{1,n-1}$ or $\Gamma_{n-1,1}$ at p. The techniques described here thus apply to these cases as well, but handling any other level structure for $n > 3$ appears to require some additional insight.

One can also ask, given a representation $\overline{\rho}$, exactly which level structures at p give rise to it. Conjecture 5.4 gives a complete answer to this when $n = 3$ (since the subgroups $\Gamma_{1,2}$ and $\Gamma_{2,1}$ are conjugate and this give rise to the same set of representations), but not for any larger n. Giving even a conjectural answer to this question would involve a closer study of the reductions of Shimura varieties with these level groups, and the implications of this reduction theory for the associated Galois representations.

Finally, one can ask the same question for p such that $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is not isomorphic to GL_n. Again, the main difficulty seems to be that little is known about the reduction of unitary Shimura varieties with parahoric level structure at such p.

2 Unitary Shimura Varieties

We begin with the definition and basic properties of unitary Shimura varieties.

Fix a totally real field F^+, of degree d over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let E be an imaginary quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, and let x be a purely imaginary element of E. Let F be the field EF^+.

Fix a complex square root $x_{\mathbb{C}}$ of the rational number x^2. Then any embedding $\tau : F^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ induces two embeddings $p_\tau, q_\tau : F \to \mathbb{C}$, via

$$\begin{aligned} p_\tau(a+bx) &= \tau(a) + \tau(b)x_{\mathbb{C}} \\ q_\tau(a+bx) &= \tau(a) - \tau(b)x_{\mathbb{C}}. \end{aligned}$$

Fix an integer n, and a central simple algebra D over F of F-dimension n^2, such that D is either split or a division algebra at every place of F. Also fix an involution of the second kind $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^*$ on D. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a free left D-module of rank one, equipped with an alternating, nondegenerate pairing $\langle , \rangle : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{Q}$. We require that

$$\langle \alpha x, y \rangle = \langle x, \alpha^* y \rangle$$

for all α in F.

Note that D is split at infinity, as F is a CM-field. Thus for each real embedding τ of F^+, $D \otimes_{F^+,\tau} \mathbb{R}$ is isomorphic to $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. For a suitable choice of idempotent e in $D \otimes_{F^+,\tau} \mathbb{R}$, satisfying $e = e^*$, $e(\mathcal{V} \otimes_{F^+,\tau} \mathbb{R})$ is an n-dimensional complex vector space, on which $\langle , \rangle$ induces a nondegenerate, Hermitian pairing. We let $r_\tau(\mathcal{V})$ and $s_\tau(\mathcal{V})$ denote the number of 1's and -1's in the signature of this pairing, respectively; this is independent of e and satisfies $r_\tau + s_\tau = n$.

We choose a particular real embedding τ_0 of F^+, and demand that $r_{\tau_0} = 1$ (so $s_{\tau_0} = n-1$), and that $r_\tau = 0$ for all $\tau \neq \tau_0$ (so that $s_\tau = n$ for such τ). (This is effectively a condition on the involution $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^*$ chosen above.)

Let G be the algebraic group over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that for any $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra R, $G(R)$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}_D(\mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} R)$ consisting of all g such that there exists an r in $R^\times$ with $\langle gx, gy \rangle = r\langle x, y \rangle$ for all x and y in $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} R$. The discussion in the previous paragraph shows that $G(\mathbb{R})$ is the subgroup of

$$\prod_{\tau : F^+ \to \mathbb{R}} \mathrm{GU}(r_\tau, s_\tau)$$

consisting of those g for which the "similitude ratio" of g_τ is the same for each τ.

Now fix a compact open subgroup U of $G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^\infty)$, and consider the Shimura variety associated to G and U. This is a smooth variety, defined over the field F, which we denote by $(X_U)_F$. Its dimension is given by the formula

$$\dim (X_U)_F = \sum_{\tau : F^+ \to \mathbb{R}} r_\tau s_\tau = n - 1.$$

If U is sufficiently small, this variety can be thought of as a fine moduli space for abelian varieties with PEL structures. *We assume henceforth that this is the case.* (Note that this is not merely a convenience to avoid the use of stacks; even in the case of classical modular forms, Mazur's principle does not quite work as advertised if one allows arbitrary level structures and considers modular curves that are only coarse moduli spaces; c.f. Remark 6.3.)

In order to describe this moduli problem explicitly, we first note that if A is an abelian variety (up to isogeny) over an F-scheme S, with an action of D, then the F-vector space $\mathrm{Lie}(A/S)$ decomposes as a direct sum

$$\mathrm{Lie}(A/S) = \mathrm{Lie}(A/S)^+ \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(A/S)^-,$$

where $E \subset D$ acts on $\mathrm{Lie}(A/S)^+$ via the inclusion $E \subset F$ and on $\mathrm{Lie}(A/S)^-$ by its complex conjugate.

The scheme $(X_U)_F$ then represents the functor that associates to an F-scheme S the set of isomorphism classes of triples (A, λ, ψ) where:

1. A is an abelian scheme (up to isogeny) over S of dimension n^2d, with an action of D,
2. The space $\mathrm{Lie}(A/S)^+$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-module of rank n, and $F^+ \subset D$ acts on $\mathrm{Lie}(A/S)^+$ via the natural inclusion of F^+ in F. (Harris and Taylor [HT] refer to such an action of D on A as *compatible.*)
3. λ is a polarization of A, such that the Rosati involution associated to λ induces the involution $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^*$ on $D \subset \mathrm{End}_0(A)$.
4. ψ is a U-orbit of isomorphisms $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty} \to VA$, sending the Weil pairing on $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty}$ to a scalar multiple of the pairing $\langle , \rangle$ on VA. (Here VA denotes the adelic Tate module of A.)

Fix a prime p split in E, and unramified in F^+, such that D is split at all primes of F above p. Choose a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of F over p, and let $\overline{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathcal{O}_{F^+}$. We wish to study models for $(X_U)_F$ over $\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}$. This entails some subtleties involving the p-part of the level structure. We more or less follow [TY], section 2.

First, we need to make some assumptions on U. We first assume that $U = U_p U^{(p)}$, where U_p is a compact open subgroup of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $U^{(p)}$ is a compact open subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty,p})$.

Observe that, since p splits in E, we have

$$G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times} \times \prod_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}'|p} \mathrm{GL}_n(F^+_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}'}),$$

where the product is over the primes $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}'$ of F^+ over p. If we fix a maximal order $\mathcal{O}$ of D, stable under $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^*$, we can choose this isomorphism in such a way that $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is identified with the subgroup

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \times \prod_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}'|p} \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{F^+,\overline{\mathfrak{p}}'}).$$

Fix such an isomorphism, and assume that U_p is given by the product

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \times \prod_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}'|p} U_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}'},$$

where each $U_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}'}$ is a compact open subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{F^+,\overline{\mathfrak{p}}'})$.

Let $i_0, \ldots, i_r$ be a sequence of positive integers satisfying

$$\sum_j i_j = n.$$

We let $\Gamma_{i_0,\ldots,i_r}$ denote the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{F^+,\overline{\mathfrak{p}}})$ consisting of those matrices which are block upper triangular of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & * & * & \ldots & * \\ 0 & A_2 & * & \ldots & * \\ & & \vdots & & \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & A_r \end{pmatrix}$$

modulo $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$, where A_j is an i_j by i_j matrix for each j. (Thus if $r = 0$, we have $i_0 = n$ and $\Gamma_{i_0,\ldots,i_r}$ is all of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{F^+,\overline{\mathfrak{p}}})$.)

Now assume $U_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is equal to $\Gamma_{i_0,\ldots,i_r}$ for some $i_0, \ldots, i_r$, and let (A, λ) be a pair over S as above. If S is an F-scheme, then giving a $U_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}$-orbit of isomorphisms $T_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}} \to (T_p A)_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is equivalent to giving an $\mathcal{O}$-stable tower of subgroup schemes

$$0 = G_0 \subset G_1 \subset \cdots \subset G_{r+1} = A[\mathfrak{p}],$$

where G_{j+1}/G_j is a finite flat group scheme over S of order $(\#\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O})^{i_j}$.

We thus define a $U_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}$-level structure on a suitable (A, λ) over an $\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}$-scheme S to be a tower of subgroups

$$0 = G_0 \subset G_1 \subset \cdots \subset G_{r+1} = A[\mathfrak{p}]$$

satisfying the conditions

1. G_{j+1}/G_j is a finite flat group scheme of order $(\#\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O})^{i_j}$ for all j, and
2. The cokernel of the map
$$H^1_{\mathrm{DR}}((A/G_{j+1})/S) \to H^1_{\mathrm{DR}}((A/G_j)/S)$$
induced by the natural map $A/G_j \to A/G_{j+1}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_S/\mathfrak{p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \mathcal{O}$-module of rank i_j for all j.

(The second of these conditions is vacuous in characteristic zero, but is necessary in mixed characteristic to prevent the appearance of components contained entirely in the special fiber of the moduli space.)

Following [TY], who only discuss the case where $U_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}} = \Gamma_{1,1,\dots,1}$, we define $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}}$ to be the moduli space parametrizing tuples $(A, \lambda, \psi^{(p)}, \{\psi_{\mathfrak{p}'}\}, G_1, \dots, G_r)$, where:

1. A is an abelian scheme over S up to prime-to-p isogeny, with an action of $\mathcal{O}$, such that $\mathcal{O}_F \subset \mathcal{O}$ acts on $\mathrm{Lie}(A/S)^+$ via the natural inclusion of $\mathcal{O}_F$ in $\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}$,
2. λ is a prime-to-p polarization, such that the associated Rosati involution induces $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^*$ on $\mathcal{O}$,
3. $\psi^{(p)}$ is a U-orbit of isomorphisms $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{f,p} \to V^p A$, where $V^p A$ is the prime-to-p adelic Tate module of A,
4. for each prime $\mathfrak{p}'$ of F over $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $\mathfrak{p}' \neq \mathfrak{p}$, but $\mathfrak{p}' \cap \mathcal{O}_E = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathcal{O}_E$, $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}'}$ is a $U_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}'}$-orbit of isomorphisms $(T_p A)_{\mathfrak{p}'} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}'}$ (Note that it is exactly for such $\mathfrak{p}'$ that such an isomorphism exists.)
5. $G_1, \dots, G_r$ is a $U_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}$-level structure in the above sense. (Our convention is that G_0 and G_{r+1} are fixed and equal to 0 and $A[\mathfrak{p}]$ respectively, and thus do not need to appear in the moduli data.)

The generic fiber of this scheme is isomorphic to the base change of $(X_U)_F$ to $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

We conclude this section by observing that the matrix
$$g_{i_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{i_2+\cdots+i_r} \\ pI_{i_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
satisfies $g_{i_1}\Gamma_{i_1,\dots,i_r}g_{i_1}^{-1} = \Gamma_{i_2,\dots,i_r,i_1}$. If U and U' are compact open subgroups of $G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty})$ of the form considered above, isomorphic except at $\mathfrak{p}$ and satisfying $U_{\mathfrak{p}} = \Gamma_{i_1,\dots,i_r}$ and $U'_{\mathfrak{p}} = \Gamma_{i_2,\dots,i_r,i_1}$, then g_{i_1} induces an isomorphism of $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$ with $(X_{U'})_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$. This isomorphism takes a tuple $(A, \lambda, \psi^{(p)}, \{\psi_{\mathfrak{p}'}\}, G_1, \dots, G_r)$ to the tuple $(A/(G_1 + G_1^{\perp}), \lambda', f \circ \psi^{(p)}, \{f \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{p}'}\}, G_2/G_1, \dots, G_r/G_1, A[\mathfrak{p}]/G_1)$, where $G_1^{\perp}$ denotes the subgroup of $A[\mathfrak{p}^*]$ that annihilates G_1 under the Weil pairing $A[\mathfrak{p}] \times A[\mathfrak{p}^*] \to \mu_p$.

3 Reduction of unitary Shimura varieties

We now discuss the mod $\mathfrak{p}$ reduction of $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}}$, for U such that $U_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}} = \Gamma_{i_0,\dots,i_r}$ for some $i_0, \dots, i_r$. We begin by discussing a local model for $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}}$, first constructed by Rapoport-Zink [RZ1].

For j between 0 and r, let M_j be a free $\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}$-module of rank n, with basis $e_1^j, \dots, e_n^j$. Define
$$f_j : M_{j+1} \to M_j$$
by $f_j(e_k^{j+1}) = pe_k^j$ if
$$\sum_{m=0}^{j-1} i_m < k \leq \sum_{m=0}^{j} i_m$$
and $f_j(e_k^j) = e_k^{j+1}$ otherwise. Also define
$$f_r : M_0 \to M_r$$
by $f_r(e_k^0) = pe_k^r$ if $k > \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} i_m$ and $f_r(e_k^0) = e_k^r$ otherwise. Note that $f_r \circ f_{r-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_0$ is equal to multiplication by p.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the $\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}$-scheme parametrizing families $(V_0, \dots, V_r)$, where:

- each V_j is a local direct summand of M_j that is locally free of rank one,
- $f_j(V_j) \subset V_{j+1}$ for $0 \leq j \leq r-1$, and
- $f_r(V_r) \subset V_0$.

Then $\mathcal{M}$ is a regular, flat $\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}$-scheme of relative dimension $n-1$. Its special fiber is a divisor with normal crossings. More precisely, let $\mathcal{M}_j$ denote the subscheme of $\mathcal{M}$ parametrizing those $(V_0, \ldots, V_r)$ for which $f_j(V_{j+1}) = 0$. (Of course, we take $\mathcal{M}_r$ to be the subscheme of $\mathcal{M}$ for which $f_r(V_0) = 0$.) Then a straightforward calculation shows that $\mathcal{M}_j$ is a smooth subvariety of the special fiber of $\mathcal{M}$, and that this special fiber is simply the union of the subvarieties $\mathcal{M}_j$.

Following Rapoport-Zink, we will show that $\mathcal{M}$ is a local model for $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}}$. Let the universal object for $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}}$ be $(\mathcal{A}, \lambda^{\text{univ}}, \psi^{(p)}, \{\psi_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}'}\}, \mathcal{G}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{G}_r)$. Set $\mathcal{A}_j = \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}_j$, and let ϕ_j denote the natural map $\mathcal{A}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{j+1}$ for each j.

Fix an idempotent e in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that $e = e^*$ and such that $e(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}$-module of rank n. Also define, for any $\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}$-scheme S, and any $\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_F$-module N, the submodule N_0 to be the largest submodule of N on which $\mathcal{O}_F \subset \mathcal{O}$ acts via the natural map $\iota : \mathcal{O}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$.

Then we can define the scheme $\tilde{X}_U$ to be the $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}}$-scheme that represents the functor taking an $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}}$ scheme $\theta : S \rightarrow (X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}}$ to the set of diagrams:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
eH^1_{\mathrm{DR}}(\theta^*\mathcal{A}_0/S)_0 \arrow[d] & eH^1_{\mathrm{DR}}(\theta^*\mathcal{A}_1/S)_0 \arrow[l, "\phi_0"'] \arrow[d] & \cdots \arrow[l, "\phi_1"'] & eH^1_{\mathrm{DR}}(\theta^*\mathcal{A}_{r+1}/S)_0 \arrow[l, "\phi_r"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\cong"] & eH^1_{\mathrm{DR}}(\theta^*\mathcal{A}_0/S)_0 \arrow[d] \\
(M_0)_S & (M_1)_S \arrow[l, "f_0"'] & \cdots \arrow[l, "f_1"'] & & (M_0)_S \arrow[ll, "f_r"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical arrows are isomorphisms and the leftmost and rightmost vertical arrow "coincide".

We have an obvious map of $\tilde{X}_U$ to $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}}$. We also have a map of $\tilde{X}_U$ to $\mathcal{M}$, which associates to any $\theta : S \rightarrow (X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}}$ and any diagram as above the tuple $((V_0)_S, \ldots, (V_r)_S)$, where V_j corresponds to the subbundle $e\,\mathrm{Lie}(\theta^*\mathcal{A}_j/S)^*_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $eH^1_{\mathrm{DR}}(\theta^*\mathcal{A}_j/S)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ under the given identification of $eH^1_{\mathrm{DR}}(\theta^*\mathcal{A}_j/S)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with $(M_j)_S$. The methods of Rapoport-Zink then show that

$$(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}} \leftarrow \tilde{X}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

is a local model diagram; i.e., that both maps are smooth of the same dimension.

In particular $\mathcal{M}$ is a local model for $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}}$, so $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}}$ is regular and flat over $\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}$, and its special fiber $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$ is a divisor with normal crossings.

Definition 3.1 *Let $(X_U)_j$ denote the subscheme of $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$ on which*

$$\phi_j : \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{A}_j/S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{A}_{j+1}/S)$$

is the zero map.

The base change of $(X_U)_j$ to $\tilde{X}_U$ coincides with the base change of $\mathcal{M}_j$ to $\tilde{X}_U$. It follows that $\mathcal{M}_j$ is a local model for $(X_U)_j$ for all j; i.e., that the $(X_U)_j$ are smooth $\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}$-schemes of dimension $n-1$. Their union is the special fiber of $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}}$.

Our goal is to study the global geometry of the schemes $(X_U)_j$ for various U. In general this is quite complicated, so we will consider only a few special cases here. Fix a U such that $U_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}} = \Gamma_n$; i.e., is maximal compact. Let $U_{1,n-1}$ be the subgroup of U such that $(U_{1,n-1})_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}} = \Gamma_{1,n-1}$ and U is isomorphic to $U_{1,n-1}$ "away from $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$". Then $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$ is smooth, whereas $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$ breaks up into two pieces, $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_0$ and $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_1$.

Understanding these pieces will require an analysis of the $\mathfrak{p}$-torsion of the moduli objects for $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$. We approach this by Dieudonné theory. In particular, let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p, and let $(A, \lambda, \psi^{(p)}, \{\psi_{\mathfrak{p}'}\})$ be a k-point of $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$. Let $D_{\mathfrak{p}}A$ denote the contravariant Dieudonné module of $A[\mathfrak{p}^\infty]$. It is a free $W(k)$-module of rank $n^2 d_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where $d_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the residue class degree of $\mathfrak{p}$ over p. It is equipped with the following extra structures:

- a σ-linear endomorphism $F : D_{\mathfrak{p}}A \to D_{\mathfrak{p}}A$, where σ is the Witt vector Frobenius on $W(k)$,
- a σ-antilinear endomorphism $V : D_{\mathfrak{p}}A \to D_{\mathfrak{p}}A$, such that $FV = VF = p$, and
- an action of $\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} W(k)$, induced by the action of $\mathcal{O}$ on A, that commutes with F and V.

The map $\operatorname{Spec} k \to (X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}}$ corresponding to the point $(A, \lambda, \psi^{(p)}, \{\psi_{\mathfrak{p}'}\})$ yields a map $\operatorname{Spec} k \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}$, and hence a map $\mathcal{O}_F \to k$. This in turn induces a map $\iota : \mathcal{O}_F \to W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. For i in $\mathbb{Z}/d_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbb{Z}$ let $(D_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_i$ denote the submodule of $D_{\mathfrak{p}}A$ on which $\mathcal{O}_F \subset \mathcal{O}$ acts via $\sigma^i \iota : \mathcal{O}_F \to W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. (Here σ is the Witt vector Frobenius.) Then $D_{\mathfrak{p}}A$ breaks up as the direct sum of $(D_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/d_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathbb{Z}$. Each $(D_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_i$ has rank n^2 over $W(k)$, and comes equipped with an action of $\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} W(k)$. The latter is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_n(W(k))$.

Note that the semilinearity properties of F and V imply that they induce maps

$$F : (D_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_i \to (D_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_{i+1}$$

$$V : (D_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_{i+1} \to (D_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_i$$

for all i.

Let $\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A$ denote $D_{\mathfrak{p}}A/pD_{\mathfrak{p}}A$. Then (see for instance [Od]), we have an isomorphism $H^1_{\mathrm{DR}}(A/k)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A$. Moreover, the submodule $V\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A$ corresponds under this isomorphism to the submodule $\mathrm{Lie}(A/k)^*_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $H^1_{\mathrm{DR}}(A/k)_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since (by our definition of the moduli problem) $\mathcal{O}_F$ acts on $\mathrm{Lie}(A/k)^*_{\mathfrak{p}}$ via the natural map $\mathcal{O}_F \to k$, it follows that $V\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A$ lies in $(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_0$. Moreover, it has dimension n as a k-vector space. Thus the maps

$$V : (\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_{i+1} \to (\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_i$$

are zero for $i \neq 0$, and the map

$$V : (\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_1 \to (\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_0$$

has an n-dimensional image.

On the other hand, the kernel of F on $\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A$ is equal to the image of V. Thus the maps

$$F : (\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_i \to (\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_{i+1}$$

are isomorphisms for $i \neq 0$, and the map

$$V : (\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_0 \to (\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_1$$

has an $n^2 - n$-dimensional image.

Consider the endomorphism $F^{d_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ of the Dieudonné module $\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A$. It induces a map

$$(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_0 \to (\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_0$$

whose image has dimension $n^2 - n$. The kernel of this map is $V((\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_1)$. Since both of these are stable under $\mathcal{O}$, either the kernel is contained in the image or intersects it trivially. In the former case $F^{id_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_0$ has dimension $n^2 - n$ for all $i \geq 1$; in the latter case $F^{2d_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_0$ has dimension $n^2 - 2n$. Once again, this space will either contain the kernel of $F^{d_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ or meet it trivially.

Proceeding in this fashion, we see that there is a unique h between 0 and $n-1$, such that $F^{id_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_0$ has dimension nh for all $i \geq n-h$. It follows that, in the language of [HT], Chapter II, $eA[\mathfrak{p}^\infty]$ is a Barsotti-Tate $\mathcal{O}_F$-module of étale height h. Following [HT], we set $(X_U)^{(h)}$ to be the locus of points in $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$ with this property, and let $(X_U)^{[h]}$ denote its closure. Harris and Taylor show (Corollary III.4.4 of [HT]) that $(X_U)^{(h)}$ is smooth of pure dimension h.

Let $(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)^{et}$ denote the submodule $F^{n-h}(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)$ of $\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A$. It is the largest Dieudonné submodule of $\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A$ on which F is an isomorphism. Let $(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)^{\mathrm{conn}}$ be the submodule

$$\{x \in \overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A : \exists i : F^i x = 0\}$$

of $(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)$. The above analysis shows that we have a decomposition

$$\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A = (\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)^{\mathrm{conn}} \oplus (\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)^{\text{ét}}.$$

With these facts established, we may now show:

Proposition 3.2 *The natural map*

$$(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}} \to (X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$$

induces an isomorphism of $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_0$ *with* $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$.

Proof. This natural map is clearly proper, so it suffices to show that it is a bijection on $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-points and tangent spaces. Let $x = (A, \lambda, \psi^{(p)}, \{\psi_{\mathfrak{p}'}\}, G_1)$ be an $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-point of $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_0$. Take $A_1 = A/G_1$, and let ϕ_0 be the natural map $A \to A_1$.

Since x lies in $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_0$, ϕ_0 induces the zero map $\mathrm{Lie}(A_1)^* \to \mathrm{Lie}(A)^*$. In the language of Dieudonné theory, this means that ϕ maps $VD_{\mathfrak{p}}A_1$ into $pD_{\mathfrak{p}}A$. Since V is injective on the Dieudonné module of a p-divisible group, this means that ϕ maps $D_{\mathfrak{p}}A_1$ into $FD_{\mathfrak{p}}A$.

Condition (2) in the definition of $U_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}$-level structure, and the isomorphism between $\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A$ and $H^1_{\mathrm{DR}}(A)_{\mathfrak{p}}$, together imply that the subspace $\phi_0(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A_1)_i$ of $(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_i$ has dimension $n^2 - n$. Since this image is contained in $F(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_{i-1}$, we find in particular that $\phi_0(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A_1)_1$ is contained in $F(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_0$; since both have dimension $n^2 - n$, the two must be equal. But since $\phi_0(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A_1)$ is a Dieudonné submodule of $\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A$, it follows that $\phi_0(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A_1)_i$ contains $F^i(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_0$ for all $i \geq 1$. When $1 \leq i \leq d_{\mathfrak{p}}$, both of these spaces have dimension $n^2 - n$, so $\phi_0(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A_1)_i$ equals $F^i(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Thus $\phi_0(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A_1)$ (and hence G_1) is uniquely determined given A. Conversely, for a point $(A, \lambda, \psi^{(p)}, \{\psi_{\mathfrak{p}'}\})$ of $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F,\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, the submodule M of $\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A$ defined by

$$M_i = F^i(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)_0, 1 \leq i \leq d_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \to M_i \to \overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A \to C \to 0$$

of Dieudonné modules. Then C is the Dieudonné module of some group G_1, and the surjection of $\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A$ onto C induces an injection of G_1 into A, and hence determines a point of $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_0$.

Thus the map from $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_0$ to $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}}$ is a bijection on $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-points. We now show it is a bijection on tangent spaces. Note that a first order deformation of the datum $(A, \lambda, \psi^{(p)}, \{\psi_{\mathfrak{p}'}\}, G_1)$ is equivalent to a first order deformation of the datum $(A, \lambda, \psi(p), \{\psi_{\mathfrak{p}'}\}, A_1, \phi_0 : A \to A_1)$.

By a result of Grothendieck [Gr], a first-order deformation of A is equivalent to a lift of the subspace $\mathrm{Lie}(A)^*$ of $H^1_{\mathrm{DR}}(A)$ to a direct summand of the $k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$-module $H^1_{\mathrm{cris}}(A)_{k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$. Such a deformation will be compatible with λ if this direct summand is isotropic with respect to the natural pairing:

$$H^1_{\mathrm{cris}}(A)_{k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2} \times H^1_{\mathrm{cris}}(A)_{k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2} \to k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$$

induced by λ, and hence is uniquely determined by a lift of $(\mathrm{Lie}(A)^*)^+$ to $H^1_{\mathrm{cris}}(A)^+_{k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$. This lift must also be compatible with the action of $\mathcal{O}$.

To give a deformation of $(A, A_1, \phi_0 : A \to A_1)$ lifting a deformation as above, we must give a lift of $\mathrm{Lie}(A_1)^*$ to $H^1_{\mathrm{cris}}(A_1)_{k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2}$, compatible with the action of $\mathcal{O}$, such that the map ϕ_0 induces on crystalline cohomology maps this lift into the given lift of $\mathrm{Lie}(A)^*$. This deformation will be contained in $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_0$ if (and only if) ϕ_0 induces the zero map on the given lift of $(\mathrm{Lie}(A_1)^*)^+$. Since $\ker \phi_0 \subset A[\mathfrak{p}]$, ϕ_0 is an isomorphism on the minus part of the crystalline cohomology, so we can restrict our attention to $(\mathrm{Lie}(A_1)^*)^+$. A lift of this space must have dimension n, and must be contained in $(H^1_{\mathrm{cris}}(A_1)_{k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2})_0$. On the other hand, the cokernel (and hence also the kernel) of the map $H^1_{\mathrm{DR}}(A_1)_0 \to H^1_{\mathrm{DR}}(A)_0$, has dimension n. Thus the kernel of the map $(H^1_{\mathrm{cris}}(A_1)_{k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2})_0 \to (H^1_{\mathrm{cris}}(A)_{k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2})_0$ is free of rank n, and since the lift of $(\mathrm{Lie}(A_1)^*)^+$ must be contained in this kernel, the two are equal.

Thus, for any tangent vector to a point on $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$, there is at most one tangent vector of $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_0$ lifting it. In particular the map from $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_0$ to $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$ is injective on tangent spaces, and since the former and latter are smooth of the same dimension, it is an isomorphism on tangent spaces as required. □

We turn next to the geometry of $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_1$, which is somewhat more complicated. First note that the map

$$(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}} \to (X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}}$$

has degree $\frac{p^{nd_\mathfrak{p}}-1}{p^{d_\mathfrak{p}}-1}$. Since $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$ is a divisor of $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}}$, and its pullback to $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}}$ is simply $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$, the map

$$(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}} \to (X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$$

has degree $\frac{p^{nd_\mathfrak{p}}-1}{p^{d_\mathfrak{p}}-1}$ as well. In light of the preceding proposition, this means that the map

$$(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_1 \to (X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$$

has degree

$$\frac{p^{nd_\mathfrak{p}}-1}{p^{d_\mathfrak{p}}-1} - 1 = p^{d_\mathfrak{p}} \frac{p^{(n-1)d_\mathfrak{p}}-1}{p^{d_\mathfrak{p}}-1}.$$

The following proposition gives the structure of this map:

Proposition 3.3 *The map $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_1 \to (X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$ is the composition of a finite, purely inseparable morphism $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_1 \to (X_{U_{1,n-1}})_1^{\text{sep}}$ of degree $p^{d_\mathfrak{p}}$ with a finite, separable morphism $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_1^{\text{sep}} \to (X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$ of degree $\frac{p^{(n-1)d_\mathfrak{p}}-1}{p^{d_\mathfrak{p}}-1}$. The latter morphism is étale over $(X_U)^{(n-1)}$. Moreover, the preimage of $(X_U)^{[n-2]}$ under this morphism contains $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_0 \cap (X_{U_{1,n-1}})_1$, and the induced map:*

$$(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_0 \cap (X_{U_{1,n-1}})_1 \to (X_U)^{[n-2]}$$

is an isomorphism.

Over $(X_U)^{(n-2)}$, the map $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_1^{\text{sep}} \to (X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$ is unbranched along the intersection of $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_0 \cap (X_{U_{1,n-1}})_1$ with the preimage of $(X_U)^{(n-2)}$, and branched to order $p^{d_\mathfrak{p}}$ elsewhere.

Proof. Let $x = (A, \lambda, \rho, \psi^{(p)}, \{\psi_{\mathfrak{p}'}\}, G_1)$ be a k-point of $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_1$. Let $\overline{x}$ denote the image of x in $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$. Let A_1 and A_2 denote A/G_1 and $A/A[\mathfrak{p}]$, and ϕ_0 and ϕ_1 denote the natural maps $A \to A_1$ and $A_1 \to A_2$, respectively. We know, by the moduli interpretation of $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_1$, that ϕ_1 induces the zero map from $\mathrm{Lie}(A_2)^*$ to $\mathrm{Lie}(A_1)^*$. In terms of Dieudonné theory, this means that ϕ_1 maps $VD_\mathfrak{p}A_2$ into $pD_\mathfrak{p}A_1$. On the other hand, $\phi_1 \circ \phi_0$ identifies $D_\mathfrak{p}A_2$ with $pD_\mathfrak{p}A$. Thus $\phi_0(D_\mathfrak{p}A_1)$ contains $VD_\mathfrak{p}A$. Conversely, if M is any $\mathcal{O}$-stable Dieudonné submodule of $D_\mathfrak{p}A$ that contains $VD_\mathfrak{p}A$ and such that the quotient $D_\mathfrak{p}A/M$ is a free $\mathcal{O}\otimes k$-module of rank 1, then M determines a unique subgroup G_1 of $A[\mathfrak{p}]$ such that the map $A/G_1 \to A/A[\mathfrak{p}]$ induces the zero map on the Lie algebras, and hence a unique point of $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_1$ over $\overline{x}$.

Now suppose we have such an M, and assume that $\overline{x}$ lies in $(X_U)^{(n-1)}$. In this case $\overline{D}_\mathfrak{p}A$ breaks up as a direct sum $\overline{D}_\mathfrak{p}A = (\overline{D}_\mathfrak{p}A)^{\text{conn}} \oplus (\overline{D}_\mathfrak{p}A)^{\text{ét}}$, where $(\overline{D}_\mathfrak{p}A)_i^{\text{conn}}$ has dimension n for all i. Let $\overline{M}$ denote the projection of M to $\overline{D}_\mathfrak{p}A$; since M contains $p\overline{D}_\mathfrak{p}A$ it is clear that $\overline{M}$ determines M.

Since $\overline{M}$ contains $V\overline{D}_\mathfrak{p}A$, $\overline{M}_0$ contains $(\overline{D}_\mathfrak{p}A)_0^{\text{conn}}$. Thus, under the projection of $\overline{D}_\mathfrak{p}A$ to $(\overline{D}_\mathfrak{p}A)^{\text{ét}}$, $\overline{M}_0$ gets sent to a submodule of rank n^2-2n of $(\overline{D}_\mathfrak{p}A)_0^{\text{ét}}$. But for $1 \leq i \leq d_\mathfrak{p}-1$, $F^{d_\mathfrak{p}-i}$ induces an isomorphism of $\overline{M}_i$ with $\overline{M}_0$, and hence $\overline{M}_i$ gets sent to a submodule of rank n^2-2n of $(\overline{D}_\mathfrak{p}A)_i^{\text{ét}}$. A dimension count shows that $\overline{M}_i$ therefore contains $(\overline{D}_\mathfrak{p}A)_i^{\text{conn}}$ for all i. In particular $\overline{M}$ is the preimage of a unique $\mathcal{O}$-stable submodule $\overline{M}^{\text{ét}}$ of $(\overline{D}_\mathfrak{p}A)^{\text{ét}}$, with $\dim \overline{M}_i^{\text{ét}} = n^2-2n$ for all i, and any such submodule determines a unique M.

Moreover, $\overline{M}^{\text{ét}}$ determines, and is uniquely determined by, the submodule $e\overline{M}^{\text{ét}}$ of $e(\overline{D}_\mathfrak{p}A)^{\text{ét}}$. The latter is the Dieudonné module of the constant group scheme $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p})^{n-1}$, and $e(\overline{D}_\mathfrak{p}A)^{\text{ét}}/eM$ is the Dieudonné module of $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p})$. Thus points of $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_1$ over $\overline{x}$ correspond to subgroups of $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p})^{n-1}$, isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}$, and there are $\frac{p^{(n-1)d_\mathfrak{p}}-1}{p^{d_\mathfrak{p}}-1}$ of these. It follows that the map $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_1 \to (X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$ is the composition of a purely inseparable map of degree $p^{d_\mathfrak{p}}$ with a separable map of degree $\frac{p^{(n-1)d_\mathfrak{p}}-1}{p^{d_\mathfrak{p}}-1}$ that is étale over $(X_U)^{(n-1)}$.

Now suppose that $\overline{x}$ lies in $(X_U)^{(n-2)}$. We again have a decomposition

$$\overline{D}_\mathfrak{p}A = (\overline{D}_\mathfrak{p}A)^{\text{conn}} \oplus (\overline{D}_\mathfrak{p}A)^{\text{ét}},$$

but now $e(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)^{\text{ét}}$ is the Dieudonné module of the constant group scheme $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p})^{n-2}$. The space $e(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)^{\text{conn}}$ is $2d_{\mathfrak{p}}$-dimensional, and F acts nilpotently on it with a one dimensional-kernel. Thus in particular $e(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)^{\text{conn}}$ has exactly one Dieudonné submodule of any given dimension between zero and $2d_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Let $\overline{M}^{\text{ét}}$ be the image of $\overline{M}$ in $(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)^{\text{ét}}$. The dimension of $e\overline{M}_i^{\text{ét}}$ is either $n-2$ for all i, or $n-3$ for all i; in the latter case $e\overline{M}$ contains $e(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)^{\text{conn}}$; in the former case the intersection of $e\overline{M}$ with $e(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)^{\text{conn}}$ is the unique Dieudonné submodule of $e(\overline{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}A)^{\text{conn}}$ of dimension $d_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and $\overline{M}$ is uniquely determined. In the latter case $\overline{M}$ is determined by the choice of $e\overline{M}^{\text{ét}}$, which is equivalent to the choice of a subgroup of $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p})^{n-2}$ isomorphic to $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p})$.

Hence over any geometric point of $(X_U)^{n-2}$, there are

$$1 + \frac{p^{(n-2)d_{\mathfrak{p}}} - 1}{p^{d_{\mathfrak{p}}} - 1}$$

geometric points of $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_1$. In order to understand the branching along these points, let S be the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring R, and let $(A, \lambda, \psi^{(p)}, \{\psi_{\mathfrak{p}'}\})$ be an S-point of $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$, whose generic point maps into $(X_U)^{(n-1)}$ and whose closed point maps into $(X_U)^{(n-2)}$. Let K be the algebraic closure of its field of fractions, and let k be the algebraic closure of its residue field. Then $eA_K[\mathfrak{p}]^{\text{ét}}$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p})^{n-1}$, whereas $eA_k[\mathfrak{p}]^{\text{ét}}$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p})^{n-2}$.

There are $\frac{p^{(n-1)d_{\mathfrak{p}}}-1}{p^{d_{\mathfrak{p}}}-1}$ K-points of $(X_U)_1$ lying over $\operatorname{Spec} K \to (X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$, corresponding to the subgroups of $eA_K[\mathfrak{p}]^{\text{ét}}$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}$. Under the specialization map $eA_K[\mathfrak{p}] \to eA_k[\mathfrak{p}]$, $p^{d_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ such subgroups specialize to any given subgroup of $eA_k[\mathfrak{p}]$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}$. The remaining subgroup specializes to a connected subgroup of $eA_k[\mathfrak{p}]$.

Thus, along the preimage of $(X_U)^{(n-2)}$, the separable part of the map $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_1 \to (X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$ is unbranched at those points corresponding to subgroups G_1 that are connected, and branched to order $p^{d_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ elsewhere. On the other hand, if G_1 is connected, then the map $A \to A/G_1$ is zero on some part of $\mathrm{Lie}(A)^+$. The latter has no proper $\mathcal{O}$-stable subspaces, so this map must be zero on all of $\mathrm{Lie}(A)^+$. Thus such G_1 determine points that lie on $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_0$ as well as $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_1$. Conversely, it is easy to check that the image of $(X_U)^{[n-2]}$ in $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_0$ under the inverse of the natural isomorphism of $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_0$ with $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$ is precisely the intersection $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_0 \cap (X_{U_{1,n-1}})_1$. $\Box$

Remark 3.4 Note that the isomorphism $g_1 : (X_{U_{1,n-1}})_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}} \cong (X_{U_{n-1,1}})_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}}$ identifies $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_0$ with $(X_{U_{n-1,1}})_1$, and $(X_{U_{1,n-1}})_1$ with $(X_{U_{n-1,1}})_0$. Thus we obtain results about the structure of $X_{U_{n-1,1}}$ as well.

We will also need to understand the case in which $n = 3$, and the level structure at $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ comes from the Iwahori subgroup $\Gamma_{1,1,1}$. Let $U_{1,1,1}$ denote the subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty})$ that is isomorphic to $\Gamma_{1,1,1}$ at $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ and to U at all other places. Then $(X_{U_{1,1,1}})_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}}$ parametrizes tuples $(A, \lambda, \psi^{(p)}, \{\psi_{\mathfrak{p}'}\}, G_1, G_2)$. Its fiber over $\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}$ breaks up into three components $(X_{U_{1,1,1}})_0$, $(X_{U_{1,1,1}})_1$ and $(X_{U_{1,1,1}})_2$. These components parametrize the loci where the maps $A \to A/G_1$, $A/G_1 \to A/G_2$ and $A/G_2 \to A/A[\mathfrak{p}]$ (respectively) induce the zero map on the positive parts of the appropriate Lie algebras.

The variety $(X_{U_{1,1,1}})_0$ admits a map to $(X_{U_{1,2}})_0$ by forgetting G_2, and a map to $(X_{U_{2,1}})_0$ by forgetting G_1. The resulting map

$$(X_{U_{1,1,1}})_0 \to (X_{U_{1,2}})_0 \times_{(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}} (X_{U_{2,1}})_0$$

is clearly proper and injective on S-valued points for any S. It is therefore a closed immersion. But $(X_{U_{1,2}})_0$ is isomorphic to $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$, so the above product is simply $(X_{U_{2,1}})_0$. We thus have a closed immersion of $(X_{U_{1,1,1}})_0$ into $(X_{U_{2,1}})_0$. On the other hand, if $(A, \lambda, \psi^{(p)}, \{\psi_{\mathfrak{p}'}\}, G_2)$ is an $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-point of $(X_{U_{2,1}})_0$ lying over $(X_U)^{(2)}$, then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to G_2^{\text{conn}} \to G_2 \to G_2^{\text{ét}} \to 0,$$

and it is easy to see (using the above Dieduonné theory calculations) that $(A, \lambda, \psi^{(p)}, \{\psi_{\mathfrak{p}'}\}, G_2^{\text{conn}}, G_2)$ is a point of $(X_{U_{1,1,1}})_0$ mapping to x. Thus the natural map $(X_{U_{1,1,1}})_0 \to (X_{U_{2,1}})_0$ is an isomorphism. It follows that $(X_{U_{1,1,1}})_0$ is isomorphic to $(X_{U_{1,2}})_1$.

The automorphism g_1 of $(X_{U_{1,1,1}})_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}}$ cyclically permutes $(X_{U_{1,1,1}})_0$, $(X_{U_{1,1,1}})_1$, and $(X_{U_{1,1,1}})_2$. Thus the latter two components are isomorphic to $(X_{U_{1,2}})_1$ as well.

Finally, we turn to the question of the intersections $(X_{U_{1,1,1}})_i \cap (X_{U_{1,1,1}})_{i'}$ for i, i' in $\{0, 1, 2\}$. Note that the map

$$(X_{U_{1,1,1}})_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}} \to (X_{U_{1,2}})_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$$

induces a map

$$(X_{U_{1,1,1}})_0 \cap (X_{U_{1,1,1}})_1 \to (X_{U_{1,2}})_0 \cap (X_{U_{1,2}})_1.$$

that is a bijection on points. Since the map $(X_{U_{1,1,1}})_1 \to (X_{U_{1,2}})_1$ is an isomorphism, this induced map is as well. Thus $(X_{U_{1,1,1}})_0 \cap (X_{U_{1,1,1}})_1$ is naturally isomorphic to $(X_U)^{(1)}$. The action of g_1 allows us to deduce this for the other pairwise intersections as well.

To summarize:

Proposition 3.5 *The three components $(X_{U_{1,1,1}})_0$, $(X_{U_{1,1,1}})_1$, and $(X_{U_{1,1,1}})_2$ are all isomorphic to $(X_{U_{1,2}})_1$. Their pairwise intersections are isomorphic to $(X_U)^{(1)}$.*

4 Galois representations

Henceforth, we assume that there is some place of F at which D is division algebra. It is easily seen that this implies that $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F,\mathfrak{p}}$ is proper over $\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}$. This avoids complications due to endoscopy, allowing us to apply results from [HT], and will later allow us to apply the weight spectral sequence to the cohomology of this variety.

Fix a compact open subgroup U of G, let $(X_U)_{\overline{F}}$ be the base change of $(X_U)_F$ to $\overline{F}$, and let $\mathbb{T}_U$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}_l$-subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}(H^{n-1}((X_U)_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_l))$ generated by the Hecke operators at primes that split in E, are unramified in F, and do not divide the level of U. It is a finite, flat, commutative $\mathbb{Z}_l$-algebra. Moreover, since the Hecke operators in $\mathbb{T}_U$ commute with their adjoints with respect to Poincaré duality on $H^{n-1}((X_U)_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$, $\mathbb{T}_U$ contains no nonzero nilpotent elements. Let $\tilde{m}$ be a minimal prime of $\mathbb{T}_U$. Then $\tilde{m}$ determines, for each prime q that splits in E and does not divide the level of U, an unramified representation $\pi_{\tilde{m},q}$ of $G(\mathbb{Q}_q)$.

Let $X_{\overline{F}}$ denote the ind-scheme obtained by taking the limit of $(X_U)_{\overline{F}}$ over all U. The localization $H^{n-1}_{\text{ét}}((X_U)_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)_{\tilde{m}}$ is given by a direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{\pi} H^{n-1}(X_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)[\pi]^U,$$

where $[\pi]$ denotes the $(\pi)^{\infty}$-isotypic component and where the sum is taken over those π such that

1. π has a U-fixed vector,
2. π_∞ is cohomological for the trivial representation of $G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty})$, and
3. $\pi_q \cong \pi_{\tilde{m},q}$ for all q that split in E, are unramified in F, and do not divide the level of U.

This set can be characterized in terms of a near equivalence class of automorphic representations, in the sense of [TY]. We are indebted to Richard Taylor for the following argument, which establishes this:

Proposition 4.1 *For each π satisfying conditions (1)-(3), there is a Galois representation $\rho_\pi : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ whose restriction to a decomposition group at almost all q corresponds to π_q via the Local Langlands Correspondence. Moreover, if ρ_π is irreducible then $H^{n-1}_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{F}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[\pi]$ is isomorphic (up to semisimplification) to some number of copies of $V_\pi \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l} \rho_{\pi'}$, where V_π is a representation space for π.*

Proof. This is [TY], Lemma 3.1, in the case where $\mathrm{BC}(\pi) = (\psi, \Pi)$ with $\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)$ cuspidal, in the notation of [HT], VI.2.

In general, $\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)$ is a representation of the form

$$\Pi' \boxplus \Pi' |\det| \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \Pi' |\det|^{s-1}$$

for some s dividing n and some cuspidal automorphic representation Π' of $GL_{\frac{n}{s}}(\mathbb{A}_F)$. Since $\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)$ satisfies $\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)^\vee = \mathrm{JL}(\Pi)^c$, we must have $(\Pi')^\vee = (\Pi')^c |\det|^{s-1}$.

Fix a character $\psi : \mathbb{A}_F^\times / F^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ with $\psi\psi^c = |\cdot|$. We can take ψ to be the identity at each archimedean place of F. Then $(\psi \circ \det)\Pi'$ is a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{\frac{n}{s}}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ whose dual equals its complex conjugate. Moreover, the representation $\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)_\infty$ has the same infinitesimal character as an algebraic representation; it follows that Π'_∞ (and hence $((\psi \circ \det)\Pi')_\infty$) do as well. By [HT], VII.1.9 we can associate a Galois representation $\rho_{(\psi\circ\det)\Pi'}$ to $(\psi \circ \det)\Pi'$. Taking direct sums of suitable twists of this representation yields the desired representation for π. ☐

Let π and π' be two representations satisfying the above conditions. Then for q not dividing the level of U, unframified in F, and split in E, the representations ρ_π and $\rho_{\pi'}$ agree when restricted to a decomposition group at q. A straightforward Čebotarev argument then shows that the two representations are equal. It follows that π and π' agree "almost everywhere"; i.e. they are nearly equivalent in the sense of [TY]. Thus $\tilde{m}$ determines a near equivalence class of automorphic representations. Moreover, the representation ρ_π actually depends only on the near equivalence class of π, or equivalently, the ideal $\tilde{m}$. We henceforth denote this representation by $\rho_{\tilde{m}}$.

If m is a maximal ideal lying over $\tilde{m}$, we also have a reduced representation $\overline{\rho}_m : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \to GL_n(\mathbb{T}_U/m)$ via 'reduction mod m'. It is well-defined up to semisimplification.

If $\tilde{m}'$ is another minimal prime of $\mathbb{T}_U$ contained in m, then $\rho_{\tilde{m}'}$ will also have the reduced representation $\overline{\rho}_m$. Note that if $\overline{\rho}_m$ is absolutely irreducible, then $\rho_{\tilde{m}'}$ will be irreducible as well, and so must appear in the cohomology of X_U.

Assume $\overline{\rho}_m$ is absolutely irreducible, and for each $\tilde{m}'$ contained in m, let $V_{\tilde{m}'}$ be a representation space for $\rho_{\tilde{m}'}$. The product over all $\tilde{m}'$ of the $V_{\tilde{m}'}$ is then an n-dimensional representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ with coefficients in

$$\prod_{\tilde{m}'} (\mathbb{T}_U)_{\tilde{m}'} \cong (\mathbb{T}_U)_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Q}_l.$$

Moreover, when considered as a $(\mathbb{T}_U)_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Q}_l$- representation, we have a set of primes of F of density 1 such that the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius at such primes has coefficients in $(\mathbb{T}_U)_m$. It follows that this representation is defined over $(\mathbb{T}_U)_m$; i.e., that we have a representation $\rho_m : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \to \mathrm{GL}_n((\mathbb{T}_U)_m)$, such that

$$\rho_m \otimes_{(\mathbb{T}_U)_m} (\mathbb{T}_U)_{\tilde{m}'} = \rho_{\tilde{m}'}$$

for all $\tilde{m}'$ contained in m.

The upshot is the following, which can be viewed as a result on "congruences" for automorphic forms on unitary Shimura varieties:

Corollary 4.2 *Let U' be a subgroup containing U, and suppose that m descends to a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}_{U'}$ via the natural surjection $\mathbb{T}_U \to \mathbb{T}_{U'}$. Suppose further that $\overline{\rho}_m$ is absolutely irreducible. Then the representation $\overline{\rho}_m$ "arises from level U'", in the sense that there is a miminal prime $\tilde{m}'$ of $\mathbb{T}_{U'}$ such that ρ_m is the reduced representation associated to $\rho_{\tilde{m}'}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathbb{T}_{U'}$ has no l-torsion, there is a minimal prime $\tilde{m}'$ of $\mathbb{T}_{U'}$ above m. Then $\rho_{\tilde{m}'}$ is obtained from ρ_m by tensoring with $(\mathbb{T}_U)_{\tilde{m}'}$. Since every Jordan-Holder constituent of ρ_m is isomorphic to $\overline{\rho}_m$, the result follows immediately. ☐

5 The weight spectral sequence

We turn to the study of the representations $\rho_{\tilde{m}}$ defined in the previous section at a decomposition group over $\mathfrak{p}$, in the case where $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is one of the parahoric subgroups $\Gamma_{i_0,\ldots,i_r}$ considered in section 2, and the residue characteristic l of $\tilde{m}$ is prime to p. The key is the weight spectral sequence of Rapoport-Zink.

Theorem 5.1 (Rapoport-Zink) *[RZ2] [Sa] Let X be a proper scheme over $\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}$ with strictly semistable reduction at $\mathfrak{p}$; that is, a regular scheme with smooth general fiber whose special fiber Y is a divisor with normal crossings. For each i, let $Y^{(i)}$ denote the disjoint union of all $i+1$-fold intersections of distinct irreducible components of Y. Then there is a spectral sequence*

$$E_1^{p,q} = \oplus_{i \geq max(0,-p)} H^{q-2i}_{\text{ét}}(Y^{(p+2i)}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l(-i)) \to H^{p+q}_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{F_\mathfrak{p}}}, \mathbb{Z}_l).$$

Modulo torsion, this spectral sequence degenerates at E_2. Moreover if $N : E_1^{p,q} \to E_1^{p+2,q-2}(-1)$ denotes the endomorphism of this spectral sequence that is the identity on all summands that appear in both $E_1^{p,q}$ and $E_1^{p+2,q-2}(-1)$ and zero elsewhere, then N abuts to the monodromy operator on $H^{p+q}_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{F_\mathfrak{p}}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$.

The local models constructed in section 3 imply that when $U_\mathfrak{p} = \Gamma_{i_0,\dots,i_r}$, the scheme $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}}$ has strictly semistable reduction at $\mathfrak{p}$. Moreover, the nonzero terms of the weight spectral sequence occur in the range $-r \leq p \leq r$. We thus have:

Theorem 5.2 *The monodromy operator N satisfies $N^{r+1} = 0$ on $H^{n-1}_{\text{ét}}((X_U)_{\overline{F}_\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$. In particular, if $\tilde{m}$ is a minimal prime of $\tilde{U}$ satisfying the hyopotheses of section 4, then N^{r+1} is zero on a representation space for $\rho_{\tilde{m}}$.*

This has consequences for when a mod m Galois representation arising from X_U can arise from a group with lower level at $\mathfrak{p}$; i.e. can arise in the cohomology of $(X'_U)_{\overline{F}_\mathfrak{p}}$ for some U' containing U and isomorphic to U away from $\mathfrak{p}$, but with $U'_\mathfrak{p} = \Gamma_{i'_0,\dots,i'_{r'}}$ for some $r' < r$. In particular, a representation $\overline{\rho}_m$ cannot arise from such a U' unless N^r is zero on a representation space for $\overline{\rho}_m$. A natural question to ask is, "to what extent is the converse true?"

We will give several results and conjectures along these lines, but it is first necessary to introduce some additional terminology. Let $\mathbb{T}^{\text{univ}}$ be the "universal" algebra generated by the Hecke operators at primes q that split in E and do not divide the level of U. There is a natural surjection $\mathbb{T}^{\text{univ}} \to \mathbb{T}_U$ which allows us to consider a maximal ideal m of $\mathbb{T}^U$ as a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}^{\text{univ}}$.

Definition 5.3 *A maximal ideal m of $\mathbb{T}_U$ is* pseudo-Eisenstein *if either:*

- *$H^{n-1}_{\text{ét}}((X_U)_{\overline{F}_\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)^{\text{tor}}_m$ is nonzero, or*
- *$H^i_{\text{ét}}((X_U)_{\overline{F}_\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m$ is nonzero for some i not equal to $n-1$.*

Note that for modular curves, the first of these conditions is vacuous, while the second condition implies that pseudo-Eisenstein m are actually Eisenstein, as the Hecke action on H^0 and H^2 of a modular curve factors through the Eisenstein ideal. Pseudo-Eisensteinness thus serves as an analogue of the Eisenstein condition for unitary Shimura varieties.

The notion of pseudo-Eisensteinness depends on U, but note that if m is pseudo-Eisenstein for U, it is automatically pseudo-Eisenstein for any U' contained in U.

Conjecture 5.4 *Suppose that m is not pseudo-Eisenstein, that the residue characteristic l of m is different from p, and that N^r vanishes on a representation space for $\overline{\rho}_m$. Then there is a U' containing U and isomorphic to U away from $\mathfrak{p}$, but with $U'_\mathfrak{p} = \Gamma_{i'_0,\dots,i'_{r'}}$ for some $r' < r$, such that $\overline{\rho}_m$ arises from level U'.*

Remark 5.5 The pseudo-Eisenstein condition is a bit cumbersome to verify in practice. One might hope for a statement along the lines of '$\overline{\rho}_m$ absolutely irreducible implies m is not pseudo-Eisenstein' but unfortunately this seems quite difficult to prove. Following work of Mokrane and Tilouine [MT], we give a stronger condition on $\overline{\rho}_m$ that guarantees m is not pseudo-Eisenstein in the Appendix.

Now let $n = 3$ and fix a compact open U as in section 2, and assume that $U_\mathfrak{p}$ is maximal compact, that is, equal to Γ_3. We denote by $U_{1,2}$ (resp. $U_{2,1}$ and $U_{1,1,1}$) the subgroups that are equal to U away from $\mathfrak{p}$ and that satisfy $(U_{1,2})_\mathfrak{p} = \Gamma_{1,2}$, etc.

Theorem 5.6 *Let m be a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}_{U_{1,2}}$ (or $\mathbb{T}_{U_{2,1}}$) that is not pseudo-Eisenstein and such that $\overline{\rho}_m$ is absolutely irreducible. Suppose that $\overline{\rho}_m$ is unramified at $\mathfrak{p}$; i.e., that $N = 0$ on a representation space for $\overline{\rho}_m$, and that $\overline{\rho}_m(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ has distinct eigenvalues. Then $\overline{\rho}_m$ arises from level U.*

Theorem 5.7 *Let m be a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}_{U_{1,1,1}}$ that is not pseudo-Eisenstein and such that $\overline{\rho}_m$ is absolutely irreducible. Suppose that $N^2 = 0$ on a representation space for $\overline{\rho}_m$, and that l does not divide $p^{2d_{\mathfrak{p}}} - 1$. Then $\overline{\rho}_m$ arises from level $U_{1,2}$ (or, equivalently, from level $U_{2,1}$.)*

6 Proof of the main theorems

We will now use a more detailed analysis of the weight spectral sequence to prove Theorems 5.6 and 5.7.

First consider Theorem 5.6. Let m be a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}_{U_{1,2}}$, and assume that m is not pseudo-Eisenstein, $\overline{\rho}_m$ is absolutely irreducible, and $\overline{\rho}_m$ is unramified at $\mathfrak{p}$.

We wish to show that if $\overline{\rho}_m(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ has distinct eigenvalues, then $\overline{\rho}_m$ arises as a Jordan-holder consituent of $H^{n-1}_{\text{ét}}((X_U)_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$. In fact, it suffices to show that $H^{n-1}_{\text{ét}}((X_U)_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m$ is nonzero. For this space is non-torsion (since m is not pseudo-Eisenstein), and therefore m descends to a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}_U$. By Corollary 4.2, $\overline{\rho}_m$ then arises from level U.

Assume that $H^{n-1}_{\text{ét}}((X_U)_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m = 0$. We will show that $\overline{\rho}_m(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ has a multiple eigenvalue. Consider the weight spectral sequence for $X_{U_{1,2}}$, localized at m. It has the following form:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^2((X_U)^{(1)}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l(-1))_m \arrow[r] & H^4(((X_{U_{1,2}})_0)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m \oplus H^4(((X_{U_{1,2}})_1)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m & \\
H^1((X_U)^{(1)}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l(-1))_m \arrow[r] & H^3(((X_{U_{1,2}})_0)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m \oplus H^3(((X_{U_{1,2}})_1)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m & \\
H^0((X_U)^{(1)}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l(-1))_m \arrow[r] & H^2(((X_{U_{1,2}})_0)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m \oplus H^2(((X_{U_{1,2}})_1)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m \arrow[r] & H^2((X_U)^{(1)}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m \\
 & H^1(((X_{U_{1,2}})_0)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m \oplus H^1(((X_{U_{1,2}})_1)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m \arrow[r] & H^1((X_U)^{(1)}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m \\
 & H^0(((X_{U_{1,2}})_0)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m \oplus H^0(((X_{U_{1,2}})_1)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m \arrow[r] & H^0((X_U)^{(1)}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m
\end{tikzcd}$$

The three left-hand maps are Gysin maps; the three right-hand maps are restrictions. Note that since $(X_{U_{1,2}})_0$ is isomorphic to $(X_U)_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}}$, and X_U has good reduction at $\mathfrak{p}$, $H^i(((X_{U_{1,2}})_0)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m$ is isomorphic to $H^i((X_U)_{\overline{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m$ and is therefore zero because of our assumptions on m.

Lemma 6.1 *The Gysin maps*

$$H^i((X_U)^{(1)}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l(-1))_m \to H^{i+2}(((X_{U_{1,2}})_1)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m$$

all vanish.

Proof. To shorten notation, let $\tilde{X}$, X, and Y denote the schemes $((X_{U_{1,2}})_1)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$, $(X_U)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$, and $(X_U)^{(1)}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$, respectively. We will show that the composition of the Gysin map:

$$H^i(Y, \mathbb{Z}_l(-1)) \to H^{i+2}(X, \mathbb{Z}_l)$$

with the natural map:

$$H^{i+2}(X, \mathbb{Z}_l) \to H^{i+2}(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$$

is equal to $p^{d_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ times the Gysin map:

$$H^i(Y, \mathbb{Z}_l(-1)) \to H^{i+2}(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}_l).$$

The result follows immediately since the cohomology of X is isomorphic to the cohomology of $(X_U)_{\overline{F}}$ (as the latter has good reduction at $\mathfrak{p}$) and hence by assumption the cohomology of X vanishes after localizing at m.

Let $\tilde{i}$ denote the inclusion of Y in $\tilde{X}$ (as the intersection of $(X_{U_{1,2}})_0$ with $(X_{U_{1,2}})_1$), and let i denote the inclusion of Y in X. Let j be the natural map $\tilde{X} \to X$. Then the Gysin maps under consideration are induced by the isomorphisms $R^2\tilde{i}^!(\mathbb{Z}_l)_{\tilde{X}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_l(-1)_Y$ and $R^2 i^!(\mathbb{Z}_l)_X \cong \mathbb{Z}_l(-1)_Y$, where $(\mathbb{Z}_l)_{\tilde{X}}$, $(\mathbb{Z}_l)_X$, and $(\mathbb{Z}_l(-1))_Y$ are constant sheaves on $\tilde{X}$, X, and Y, respectively. The assertion of the previous paragraph thus amounts to the assertion that in the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R^2 i^!(\mathbb{Z}_l)_X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & R^2\tilde{i}^! j^*(\mathbb{Z}_l)_X \arrow[d] \\
\mathbb{Z}_l(-1)_Y \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}_l(-1)_Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

the bottom arrow is multiplication by p^{d_π}. This assertion is étale local in nature, and can be verified generically on Y.

Let x be a point of Y outside $(X_U)^{(0)}$. Then in an étale neighborhood of $\tilde{i}(x)$, the map $\tilde{X} \to X$ looks like the composition of a purely inseparable morphism of degree p^{d_π} with an étale map $\tilde{X} \to X$. Moreover, the map $\tilde{X} \to X$ induces an isomorphism of $\tilde{i}(Y)$ with $i(Y)$. Since $\tilde{X}$, X, and Y are all smooth, this means that in an étale neighborhood of $\tilde{i}(x)$, the map $\tilde{X} \to X$ looks like the map $\mathbb{A}^2_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \to \mathbb{A}^2_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$ that raises the second coordinate to the $p^{d_\mathfrak{p}}$th power.

It thus suffices to show that the map this induces

$$H^2_{\mathbb{A}^1_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}}(\mathbb{A}^2_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l) \to H^2_{\mathbb{A}^1_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}}(\mathbb{A}^2_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$$

is multiplication by p^{d_π}. (Here $\mathbb{A}^1$ is considered as the subscheme of $\mathbb{A}^2$ consisting of points with second coordinate zero.) But the long exact sequence for cohomology with support identifies this with the map

$$H^1((\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \mathbb{A}^1)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l) \to H^1((\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \mathbb{A}^1)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$$

induced by raising the second coordinate to the $p^{d_\mathfrak{p}}$th power; this map is clearly multiplication by $p^{d_\mathfrak{p}}$. $\square$

It follows that the maps in the left-hand column of the weight spectral sequence for $X_{U_{1,2}}$ vanish after localization at m. By Poincaré duality it follows that the right-hand maps all have torsion image, but since every cohomology group appearing in the right-hand column is torsion-free, the right-hand maps vanish as well. Thus the E_2 terms of the spectral sequence are the same as the E_1 terms. Moreover, the weight spectral sequence degenerates at E_2 modulo torsion, and the only remaining nonzero boundary maps map into cohomology groups that are torsion-free. Thus, after localization at m, the weight spectral sequence is already degenerate at E_1.

On the other hand, this spectral sequence abuts to $H^{p+q}((X_{U_{1,2}})_{\overline{F}_\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m$, which is zero except in degree 2. It follows that all terms of the above spectral sequence vanish except in cohomological degree 2. We obtain a filtration of $H^2((X_{U_{1,2}})_{\overline{F}_\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m$ whose successive quotients are $E^{1,1}_\infty = H^1((X_U)^{(1)}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m$, $E^{0,2}_\infty = H^2(((X_{U_{1,2}})_1)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m$, and $E^{-1,3}_\infty = H^1((X_U)^{(1)}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l(-1))_m$. Up to a Tate twist, the monodromy operator induces an isomorphism of $E^{-1,3}_\infty$ with $E^{1,1}_\infty$, and is zero on the remaining quotients.

Remark 6.2 Note that the above argument in fact shows that every m in the support of $H^i((X_U)^{(1)}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ is either pseudo-Eisenstein, or in the support of $H^2((X_{U_{1,2}})_{\overline{F}_\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$. Similarly, every m in the support of $H^i(((X_{U_{1,2}})_1)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ is either pseudo-Eisenstein or in the support of $H^2((X_{U_{1,2}})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$.

Remark 6.3 A key step in the classical proof of Mazur's principle for modular curves is showing that the maximal ideal m under consideration is not in the support of the component group of the Jacobian of the modular curve. After tensoring with $\mathbb{Z}_l$, we can think of this component group as the cokernel of the monodromy operator N (considered as a map from the highest weight quotient of the middle cohomology of the modular curve to the lowest weight submodule of this cohomology.) The claim that the component group vanishes when localized at m is thus equivalent to the claim that this N is surjective. The fact that in our setting the monodromy operator induces an isomorphism of $E_\infty^{-1,3}$ with $E_\infty^{1,1}$ after localizing at m is therefore an analogue of this statement.

Note that even in the classical case, this "Eisensteinness of the component group" need not hold if the modular curve under consideration is not a fine moduli space (see for instance [Ri2].) Thus our requirement that U be "sufficiently small" is more than just a technical convenience.

Now consider the representation ρ_m. Since $\overline{\rho}_m$ does not arise from level U, each prime $\tilde{m}$ of $\mathbb{T}_{U_{2,1}}$ contained in m corresponds to a near equivalence class of automorphic representations $\pi_{\tilde{m}}$ that have no U-fixed vectors. It follows by the compatibility of local and global Langlands that all such $\rho_{\tilde{m}}$ are ramified at p. If $V_{\tilde{m}}$ is a representation space for $\rho_{\tilde{m}}$, then $V_{\tilde{m}}$ is a three-dimensional $(\mathbb{T}_{U_{2,1}})_{\tilde{m}}$-vector space, equipped with a nonzero operator N such that $N^2 = 0$. The successive quotients of the monodromy filtration on $V_{\tilde{m}}$ are therefore the one dimensional spaces $\operatorname{im} N$, $\ker N / \operatorname{im} N$, and $N / \ker N$.

It therefore follows that if V_m is a representation space for ρ_m, then $\operatorname{im} N$, $\ker N / \operatorname{im} N$, and $N / \ker N$ are free $(\mathbb{T}_{U_{1,2}})_m$- modules of rank one, and therefore $\rho_m(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p})$ acts on them via scalars α_3, α_2, and α_1, respectively. (The subscripts correspond to the weights of the Frobenius action.) Therefore $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}$ must act on the successive quotients $E_\infty^{1,1}$ $E_\infty^{0,2}$ and $E_\infty^{-1,3}$ of the monodromy filtration on $H^2((X_{U_{1,2}})_{\overline{F}_\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m$ by the same scalars $\alpha_3, \alpha_2, \alpha_1$. As we have seen, these quotients are $H^1((X_U)^{(1)}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m$, $H^2(((X_{U_{1,2}})_1)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m$, and $H^1((X_U)^{(1)}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l(-1))_m$, respectively.

Now since $\overline{\rho}_m$ is unramified at $\mathfrak{p}$, N is zero on $H^2((X_{U_{1,2}})_{\overline{F}_\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m$ modulo m. It follows that $E_\infty^{1,1}$ is contained in $mH^2((X_{U_{1,2}})_{\overline{F}_\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m$. In particular the quotient M of $H^2((X_{U_{1,2}})_{\overline{F}_\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m$ by $E_\infty^{1,1}$ surjects onto $H^2((X_{U_{1,2}})_{\overline{F}_\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m \otimes_{\mathbb{T}_{U_{1,2}}} \mathbb{T}_{U_{1,2}}/m$.

But the latter is a direct sum of copies of a representation space $V_{\overline{\rho}_m}$ for $\overline{\rho}_m$. In particular α_3, α_2, and α_1 all appear (modulo m) as eigenvalues of Frobenius on this space. On the other hand M has a two step filtration such that $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}$ acts via α_2 and α_3 on the two successive quotients. Thus α_1 must be congruent to either α_2 or α_3 modulo m. But α_3, α_2, and α_1 reduce modulo m to the eigenvalues of $\overline{\rho}_m(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p})$. and so $\overline{\rho}_m$ has a multiple eigenvalue. We have thus proved Theorem 5.6.

We now turn to Theorem 5.7. Take m to be a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}_{U_{1,1,1}}$, and assume that m is not pseudo-Eisenstein, $\overline{\rho}_m$ is absolutely irreducible, and $N^2 = 0$ on a representation space for $\overline{\rho}_m$.

As before, it suffices to show that either $H^2((X_{U_{1,2}})_{\overline{F}_\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m$ is nonzero or that l divides $p^{2d_\mathfrak{p}} - 1$. We assume that $H^2((X_{U_{1,2}})_{\overline{F}_\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m$ vanishes, and will show that this implies that l divides $p^{2d_\mathfrak{p}} - 1$.

This assumption, together with Remark 6.2, shows that after localizing at m, the only nonzero terms in the weight spectral sequence for $X_{U_{1,1,1}}$ are

$$E_\infty^{-2,4} = H^0((X_U)^{(2)}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l(-2))_m,$$

$$E_\infty^{0,2} = H^0((X_U)^{(2)}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l(-1))_m,$$

$$E_\infty^{2,0} = H^0((X_U)^{(2)}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m.$$

The operator N^2 identifies $E_\infty^{-2,4}$ with $E_\infty^{2,0}(-2)$.

On the other hand, if $\tilde{m}$ is a prime of $\mathbb{T}_{U_{1,1,1}}$ containing m, then by our assumtpion $\tilde{m}$ corresponds to a near equivalence class of representations with a $U_{1,1,1}$-fixed vector but no $U_{1,2}$ or $U_{2,1}$-fixed vectors. Taylor-Yoshida show [TY] that for such representations, the mondromy operator on a representation space for ρ_{tm} satisfies $N^2 \neq 0$. In particular N has rank two, and the successive quotients on the monodromy filtration on a representation space for $\rho_{\tilde{m}}$ are $\operatorname{im} N^2$, $\operatorname{im} N / \operatorname{im} N^2$, and $N / \operatorname{im} N$.

It follows as in the previous case that $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}$ acts on $E_\infty^{-2,4}$, $E_\infty^{0,2}$, and $E_\infty^{2,0}$ by scalars α_4, α_2, and α_0 in $(\mathbb{T}_{U_{1,1,1}})_m$. Moreover, since these spaces are simply Tate twists of one another, we have

$$\alpha_4 = p^{d_\pi} \alpha_2 = p^{2d_\pi} \alpha_0.$$

Now the argument proceeds exactly as in the previous case. We have that

$$E_\infty^{2,0} \subset mH^2((X_{U_{1,1,1}})_{\overline{F}_\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m,$$

so that if M is the quotient $H^2((X_{U_{1,1,1}})_{\overline{F}_\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m/E_\infty^{2,0}$, then M/mM contains a copy of $\overline{\rho}_m$. Thus α_0 must be congruent to either α_2 or α_4 modulo m. This can only happen if p^{2d_π} is congruent to one modulo the residue characteristic l of m, as was to be proven.

7 Appendix: A criterion for non-pseudo-Eisensteinness

Since the non-pseudo-Eisenstein condition of section 5 is cumbersome, it would be useful to give conditions that imply it, but are more concrete and easier to verify in practice. Here, we give one such criterion, which is a GL_n analogue of Theorem 1 of [MT] (which deals with Siegel modular varieties). The argument here is a straightforward adaptation of that given in [MT]; we reproduce it here mainly for self-containedness, and also to point out the (slight) changes necessary for the GL_n case. Note that since we only work with constant coefficient-sheaves, the complicated technical machinery of Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand complexes employed by Mokrane and Tilouine is not needed here.

Consider a (fixed) unitary Shimura variety X_U, of the form we have been considering, and let m be a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}_U$, of residue characteristic $l > 5$. We impose the following conditions on the mod m representation ρ_m:
Ordinarity- There is a prime $\mathfrak{l}$ of $\mathcal{O}_F$ above l, such that the restriction of $\overline{\rho}$ to a decomposition group at $\mathfrak{l}$ has the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi^{n-1} & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \chi^{n-2} & \dots & * \\ & \vdots & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where χ denotes the mod l cyclotomic character. We require that the characters $\chi^0, \chi^1, \dots, \chi^{n-1}$ induce distinct maps $I_\mathfrak{l} \to \mathbb{F}_l^\times$, where $I_\mathfrak{l}$ is the inertia group at $\mathfrak{l}$.
Large Image- There exists a split and reductive (but not necessarily connected) subgroup H of GL_n, and a subfield k' of $\mathbb{T}_U/m$ such that:

- H contains a maximal torus T of GL_n,
- H contains a subgroup W' of the Weyl group of T that acts transitively on the weights of the standard representation of GL_n,
- the image of the inertia group $I_\mathfrak{l}$ under $\overline{\rho}_m$ is contained in $H^0(k')$ (here H^0 is the connected component of the identity in H), and
- the image of $\overline{\rho}_m$ contains the subgroup $H(k')_{\det}$ of $H(k')$ consisting of those elements of $H(k')$ whose determinant is in the image of $\det \overline{\rho}_m$.

Remark 7.1 The "Large Image" condition appearing here (and its analogue in [MT]) really says that the image of $\overline{\rho}_m$ is "large relative to the image of $I_\mathfrak{l}$". In particular, when $n = 3$, if the image of $I_\mathfrak{l}$ s not contained in the k'-points of any maximal torus T of GL_n, then the only possible choice for H is $H = \mathrm{GL}_3$. On the other hand, if the image of $I_\mathfrak{l}$ is contained in $T(k')$ for some T and k', one can take H to be the semidirect product of T with any suitable W'.

Under these assumptions, we find:

Theorem 7.2 *The maximal ideal m is not pseudo-Eisenstein; in particular:*

- $H^{n-1}_{\text{ét}}((X_U)_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)^{\text{tor}}_m = 0$, *and*

- $H^i_{\text{ét}}((X_U)_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)_m = 0$ *for* $i \neq n-1$.

To prove this, we follow [MT], sections 7.1 and 7.2. We begin by studying representations of subgroups H of the sort arising in the "Large Image" condition. Fix an H, T, W' and k' as described in that condition.

We follow the notation in [MT] quite closely. We let X denote the character group of T, let Ψ_{H^0} denote the roots of H^0, and Ψ denote the roots of GL_n. Denote by $\Psi^{\perp}_{H^0}$ the subspace of those λ in X such that $\langle \lambda, \beta^{\vee} \rangle = 0$ for all β in Ψ_{H^0}, where $\beta^{\vee}$ is the coroot associated to β. Choose a set of positive roots Ψ^+ for GL_n; the corresponding Borel subgroup determines a Borel subgroup for H^0 as well, and hence a set $\Psi^+_{H^0}$ of positive roots for H^0. Let Δ_{H^0} denote the set of positive simple roots for H^0.

Let $T(k')_{\text{det}}$ be the subgroup of $T(k')$ consisting of those elements whose determinant is in the image of $\det \overline{\rho}_m$. We have a map

$$X \to \mathrm{Hom}(T(k')_{\text{det}}, (k')^{\times})$$

whose kernel is the sublattice

$$(q'-1)X + e \cdot \mathbb{Z} \cdot \det,$$

where q' is the order of k' and e is the index of the image of $\det \overline{\rho}_m$ in k'. Let $\tilde{\Psi}^{\perp}_{H^0}$ denote the space $(q-1)\Psi^{\perp}_{H^0} + e \cdot \mathbb{Z} \cdot \det$; that is, the intersection of $\Psi^{\perp}_{H^0}$ with this kernel.

Let $X_{H,q'}$ denote the set

$$\{(v,a) \in X/\tilde{\Psi}^{\perp}_{H^0} : 0 \leq \langle v, \beta^{\vee} \rangle \leq q'-1 \forall \beta \in \Delta_{H^0}\}.$$

By Steinberg's theorem, (c.f. [MT], p. 52), the irreducible representations of $H^0(k')_{\text{det}}$, as an abstract group, are classified by elements of $X_{H,q'}$. In particular, if V is the irreducible algebraic representation of H^0 with highest weight μ, and μ is an element of $X_{H,q'}$ (when considered modulo $\tilde{\Psi}^{\perp}_{H^0}$) then $V_{k'}$ is an irreducible representation of $H^0(k')_{\text{det}}$ as an abstract group, and this representation depends only on the class of μ modulo $\tilde{\Psi}^{\perp}_{H^0}$.

Lemma 7.3 *(c.f. [MT], Lemma 12) Let μ be a dominant weight of H^0, and V the irreducible algebraic representation of μ with highest weight μ. Suppose that:*

- *$V_{k'}$ is an irreducible representation of $H^0(k')_{\text{det}}$,*
- *when considered as a map $T(k')_{\text{det}} \to (k')^{\times}$, μ is equal to the highest weight of the standard representation of G, and*
- *every weight of V coincides with some weight of the standard representation of G when both are considered as maps $T(k')_{\text{det}} \to (k')^{\times}$.*

Then $V_{k'}$ coincides with the "standard representation" of $H^0(k')_{\text{det}}$; i.e., μ is equal to the highest weight of the standard representation modulo $\tilde{\Psi}^{\perp}_{H^0}$.

Proof. Let w denote the highest weight of the standard representation. By hypothesis, $\mu - w \in (q'-1)X + e \cdot \mathbb{Z} \cdot \det$. It thus suffices to show that $\mu - w$ also lies in $\Psi^{\perp}_{H^0}$, as then it must lie in $\tilde{\Psi}^{\perp}_{H^0}$ as required.

Observe that for any positive simple root α of H^0 we must have $\langle w, \alpha \rangle \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Moreover, by our assumptions we have $0 \leq \langle \mu, \alpha \rangle \leq q'-1$ and $q'-1$ must divide $\langle w - \mu, \alpha \rangle$ for all α.

If $\langle w, \alpha \rangle = 1$, these observations immediately imply that $\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle$ is also equal to 1.

On the other hand, if $\langle w, \alpha \rangle = 0$, a priori we could have $\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle = 0$ or $\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle = q'-1$. In the latter case, however, the α-string of μ would have length $q'-1$, and so $\mu - \alpha$ is also a weight of V. Thus there is a weight w' of the standard representation that coincides with $\mu - \alpha$ when considered as a map $T(k')_{\text{det}} \to (k')^{\times}$. We then have $\langle w', \alpha \rangle \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, and $q'-1$ divides $\langle w' - (\mu - \alpha), \alpha \rangle$. On the other hand, $\langle \mu - \alpha, \alpha \rangle = q'-3$, so this is only possible if $q'-1$ divides 1, 2, or 3. This cannot occur since q' is a power of l.

If $\langle w, \alpha \rangle = -1$, then $\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle = q'-2$. Thus $\mu - \alpha$ is a weight of V. By the same argument as above, we find that in this case $q'-1$ must divide 2, 3, or 4, and none of these can occur. Thus $\langle w, \alpha \rangle$ is never equal to -1. □

Corollary 7.4 *Let V be a nonzero $\mathbb{T}_U/m$-vector space with an action of* $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$*-module, and suppose that for each $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$, $P_\sigma(\sigma)$ annihilates V, where P_σ is the characteristic polynomial of $\overline{\rho}_m(\sigma)$. Then V has a subquotient on which $I_{\mathfrak{l}}$ acts via $\overline{\rho}_m$.*

Proof. Let Γ' denote the preimage under $\overline{\rho}_m$ of $H^0(k')_{\mathrm{det}}$ in $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$, and observe that Γ' contains $I_{\mathfrak{l}}$ by our "Large Image" assumption. Let Γ'' denote the kernel of the map $\Gamma' \to H^0(k')_{\mathrm{det}}$ induced by $\overline{\rho}_m$. Then Γ'' is a nilpotent p-group, so without loss of generality (replacing V with the Γ''-invariants of V, which are necessarily nontrivial) we can assume V is fixed by Γ''. Thus we can view V as a $H^0(k')_{\mathrm{det}}$-module, by identifying $H^0(k')_{\mathrm{det}}$ with Γ'/Γ''.

Any irreducible $H^0(k')_{\mathrm{det}}$-constituent of V arises (as above) from an irreducible H^0-representation V^μ with some highest weight μ. On the other hand, by our characteristic polynomial assumption, the only maps $T(k')_{\mathrm{det}} \to (k')^\times$ appearing in the decomposition of V into irreducible $T(k')_{\mathrm{det}}$-modules are the characters of the standard representation of GL_n. Thus for every weight of V^μ there is a character of the standard representation that induces the same map $T(k')_{\mathrm{det}} \to (k')^\times$. Moreover, since W' acts on V, and acts transitively on the weights of the standard representation, we can assume (replacing μ with μ^y for a suitable $y \in W'$) that μ induces the same map $T(k')_{\mathrm{det}} \to (k')^\times$ as the *highest* weight of the standard representation of GL_n. It then follows from the previous lemma that $V^\mu_{k'}$ is the "standard representation" of $H^0(k')_{\mathrm{det}}$, and is an irreducible constituent of V.

But this means precisely that Γ' acts on $V^\mu_{k'}$ via $\overline{\rho}_m$. In particular $I_{\mathfrak{l}}$ acts via $\overline{\rho}_m$, as desired. □

Theorem 7.2 now follows easily. As in [MT] it suffices to show that $H^i_{\text{ét}}((X_U)_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{F}_l)_m$ vanishes for $i < n-1$. Such a cohomology group is annihilated by $P_\sigma(\sigma)$ for all σ in $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$. The preceding corollary thus shows that, if nonzero, it contains a subquotient on which $I_{\mathfrak{l}}$ acts via $\overline{\rho}_m$; by ordinarity it would follow that $H^i_{\text{ét}}((X_U)_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{F}_l)_m$ had at least n distinct Hodge-Tate weights. But the étale-DeRham comparison theorem shows that the Hodge filtration on $H^i_{\text{ét}}((X_U)_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{F}_l)$ has length $i+1$, and, as $i+1 < n$, $H^i_{\text{ét}}((X_U)_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{F}_l)_m$ must vanish as required.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is very grateful to Richard Taylor for his advice and encouragement. The work on this paper was partially supported by the National Science Foundation.

References

[Gr] Grothendieck, A. *Groupes de Barsotti-Tate et cristaux de Dieudonné.* Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérior 45, Presses de l'Université de Montréal (1974).

[HT] Harris, M., and Taylor, R. *The Geometry and Cohomology of Some Simple Shimura Varieties*, Ann. of Math. Studies 151, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton-Oxford, 2001.

[Ja] Jarvis, A. F. *Mazur's principle for totally real fields of odd degree* Compositio Math. 116 (1999), 39–79.

[MT] Mokrane, A., and Tilouine, J. *Cohomology of Siegel varieties with p-adic integral coefficients and applications*, in *Cohomology of Siegel Varieties*, Asterisque 280 (2002), 1–95.

[Od] Oda, T. *The first de Rham cohomology group and Dieudonné modules.* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 2 (1969), 63–135.

[Ra] Rajaei, A. *On the levels of mod l Hilbert modular forms* J. Reine Angew. Math. 537 (2001) 33–65.

[Ri1] Ribet, K. *On modular representations of* $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ *arising from modular forms*, Invent. Math. 100 (1990), 431–476.

[Ri2] Ribet, K. *Irreducible Galois representations arising from component groups of Jacobians*, in *Elliptic curves, modular forms, and Fermat's last theorem* (Hong Kong, 1993), 131–147.

[RZ1] Rapoport, M., Zink, T. *Period Spaces for p-divisible Groups* Annals of Math. Studies 141, Princeton University Press (1996).

[RZ2] Rapoport, M., Zink, T. *Über die lokale Zetafunktion von Shimuravarietäten, Monodromiefiltrations und verschwindende Zyklen in ungleicher Characteristik*, Inv. Math. 68 (1980), 21–101.

[Sa] Saito, T., *Weight spectral Sequences and independence of l*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 2 (2003), no. 4, 583–634.

[TY] Taylor, R., and Yoshida, T. *Compatibility of local and global Langlands correspondences*, preprint, 2004, to appear in J. Amer. Math. Soc.